

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.

10/642,698 08/19/2003 Norihisa Okada 648.43047X00 2742

20457 7590 02/16/2005 EXAMINER

ANTONELLI, TERRY, STOUT & KRAUS, LLP TOLAN, EDWARD THOMAS

ANTONELLI, TERRY, STOUT & KRAUS, LLP 1300 NORTH SEVENTEENTH STREET SUITE 1800 ARLINGTON, VA 22209-9889

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

3725

DATE MAILED: 02/16/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		10/642,698	OKADA ET AL.		
		Examiner	Art Unit		
		Tolan Edward	3725		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address		
THE - Exte - after - If the - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RIMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIOnsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by streply received by the Office later than three months after the red patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a n. a reply within the statutory minimum of thirt eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON statute, cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	n.	
Status					
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on _	•			
2a) <u></u>	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠	This action is non-final.			
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposit	ion of Claims				
4)⊠	4) Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are pending in the application.				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.				
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
'—	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4 and 6</u> is/are rejected.				
	∑ Claim(s) <u>5</u> is/are objected to.				
•	Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	nd/or election requirement.			
Applicat	ion Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
10)	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachmen	• •	_			
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		ummary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date		
3) 🔯 Infor	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/Sl er No(s)/Mail Date <u>2-24-2004</u> .	· —	formal Patent Application (PTO-152)		

Application/Control Number: 10/642,698

Art Unit: 3725

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 6 recites the limitation "the hot air blowout portion" in line 2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. This claim should depend from claim 5 in which a hot-air blowout tool is set forth.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Sieger et al. (5,218,849). Sieger discloses a method of incremental forming by using a roller tool (3) moved by a moving means (5) along a contour line. Forming chamber (4) is used to clamp a periphery of a workpiece (12). Heating means (8) is moved along the contour

Application/Control Number: 10/642,698

Art Unit: 3725

line with the roller tool. Heating means (14) is a hot air blower which blows heated air against the workpiece.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless - .

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Luttgeharm (6,532,786). Luttgeharm discloses an incremental forming machine comprising a table (22), a workpiece clamp (34,505), a spindle (50) and a means for relatively moving the table and spindle (column 4, lines 59-64). Luttgeharm discloses in column 8, lines 57-68 and in figs. 4A-4E that the spindle mounts different forming tools in an exchangeable manner.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Luttgeharm (6,532,786) in view of Sieger et al. (5,218,849). Luttgeharm discloses an incremental forming machine comprising a table (22), a workpiece clamp (34,505), a

Application/Control Number: 10/642,698

Art Unit: 3725

pplication/control Number: 10/042,00

spindle (50) and a means for relatively moving the table and spindle (column 4, lines 59-64). Luttgeharm discloses in column 8, lines 57-68 and in figs. 4A-4E that the spindle mounts different forming tools in an exchangeable manner. Luttgeharm does not disclose a hot air blowout tool. Sieger discloses heating means (14) that is a hot air blower which blows heated air against the workpiece. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of invention to provide Luttgeharm with a hot air blowout tool as taught by Sieger in order to adjust a temperature of the workpiece.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 5 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claim 5 is allowable because the prior art of record does not disclose an exchangeable hot-air blowout tool useable with an incremental forming tool wherein the exchangeable tool comprises a shank portion to be inserted into the spindle, a hot air blowout portion, an electric heater, a sensor and a controller.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Ed Tolan whose telephone number is 571-272-4525.

ED TOLAN
PRIMARY EXAMINER